IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT NASHVILLE

MARCH SESSION, 1997



November 4, 1997

Cecil W. Crowson Appellate Court Clerk

MELVIN LEE BURKETT,
Appellant,
V.

STATE OF TENNESSEE, Appellee. No. 01C01-9605-CC-00202 Humphreys County Honorable Allen W. Wallace, Judge (Post-Conviction)

DISSENTING OPINION

I respectfully dissent because I believe that <u>State v. Roger Dale Hill, Sr.</u>, No. 01C01-9508-CC-00267, Wayne County (Tenn. Crim. App. June 20, 1996), <u>app</u>. <u>granted</u> (Tenn. Jan. 6, 1997), represents the law in Tennessee. The indictment in the present case is similar to that in <u>Hill</u> in all material respects. I note that in <u>Jackson v.</u> <u>Virginia</u>, 443 U.S. 307, 319, 99 S. Ct. 2781, 2789 (1979), the United States Supreme Court concluded that conviction and punishment of an individual upon legally insufficient evidence constituted a violation of due process. I believe that, similarly, conviction and punishment upon a void indictment would constitute a violation of due process.

Joseph M. Tipton, Judge