IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE AT JACKSON

RICKEY LEWIS HILLIARD,)	
Petitioner,) C.C.A. NO. 02C	01-9511-CC-00348
V.)) HENRY COUNT)	'Y
STATE OF TENNESSEE,) NO. 12250	
Respondent.)	,	FILED
	ORDER		April 17, 1996
			Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk

This matter is before the Court upon the state's motion, pursuant to Rule 20 of the of the Court of Criminal Appeals, to affirm the judgment of the trial court by order rather than by opinion. This case represents an appeal from the trial court's denial of the petitioner's petition habeas corpus. The record in this matter was filed with the Court on November 22, 1995, and petitioner filed his brief on January 19, 1996.

On February 17, 1995, the petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the Circuit Court for Henry County. It appears from the record that the petition alleges that the sen imposed is contrary to the plea agreement the petitioner entered into with the District Attorney of thus in effect challenging the voluntariness of the guilty plea which led to his present confinement time of the filing, however, the petitioner was incarcerated in the Northwest Correctional Center Tiptonville, Lake County. On March 7, 1995, the trial court denied the petition, stating:

it was not made to the Court or Judge most convenient in point of distance nor any reason given for the failure to do so; and further, that the petitioner was sentenced by this Court on August 30, 1994, to a term of three (3) years and designated a Range I, Standard Offender, from all of which this Court is of the opinion that he is presently properly incarcerated.

Having reviewed the record in light of the petitioner's brief and the state's motion, having found that the trial court appropriately denied the petition for writ of habeas corpus becawas filed in the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion, was filed in the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion, having found that the trial of the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion, having found that the trial of the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion, having found that the trial of the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion, having found that the trial of the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion is the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion is the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion is the wrong court, see T.C.A. § 29-21-105, it is hereby ORDERED that the state's motion is the wrong court of the wrong court is the wrong court of the wrong court is the wrong

dismissal of the petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus. By our affirmance, however, we address any of the merits raised by the petitioner in his petition for writ of habeas corpus. Cost appeal shall be assessed against the petitioner.

ENTER, this the o	day of March, 1996.
	PAUL G. SUMMERS, JUDGE
	JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE
	DAVID G. HAYES, JUDGE