IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

February 11, 2010 Session

TERRANCE LAVAR DAVIS v. STATE OF TENNESSEE

Appeal by Permission from the Court of Criminal Appeals
Circuit Court for Hickman County
No. 07-5033C Timothy L. Easter, Judge

No. M2009-00011-SC-R11-HC - FILED MAY 7, 2010

SHARON G. LEE, J., concurring.

I write separately to state my position in this case. I concur with the views of my colleagues as expressed in the majority and concurring opinions that the defendant is not entitled to habeas corpus relief. My decision in this case would have been different had the trial court imposed this sentence on the defendant after a trial. However, because this was a voluntary guilty plea agreement, and not a sentence imposed by the trial court following a trial, the defendant waived any habeas corpus relief he may have been entitled to receive because the sentence he bargained for was within the statutory minimum and statutory maximum for the offense.

I do not join in Justice Wade's concurring opinion because I do not think this is the appropriate case to decide the viability of <u>Edwards v. State</u>, 269 S.W.3d 915 (Tenn. 2008). The majority opinion does not rely on <u>Edwards</u> nor cite it for any legal proposition. Neither party briefed or argued the merits of <u>Edwards</u>. <u>Edwards</u> is factually distinguishable as it involved a sentence imposed by the trial court after a trial, whereas this case concerns a guilty plea. Therefore, the affirmance or reversal of <u>Edwards</u> should await a day when the issue is squarely before the Court. Today is not that day.

SHARON G. LEE, JUSTICE