



# Rule 105 – Tennessee Rules of Juvenile Procedure

#### Motion.

- (1) An application to the court for an order shall be by motion which, unless made during a hearing or trial, shall be in writing, shall state with particularity the grounds relied upon, and shall set forth the relief or order sought.
- (2) Upon the filing of the motion, the clerk shall schedule a tentative date for the motion to be heard.
- (3) All motions shall include the date upon which the motion is expected to be heard and shall be served on the parties a reasonable time prior to that date.
- (4) A written response to a motion shall not be required.

## Local Rules of Practice – Davidson County Juvenile Court

- Motion is filed response is optional
- Motion is docketed before it is filed
- ▶ At initial hearing, no proof is presented only legal argument
- ▶ If proof is necessary, a second hearing is set
- Judicial officer may ask for briefs by the parties









#### Be focused.

If you choose to file a motion, focus on the most important bases for relief. As a general rule, you should focus on no more than two to three themes for any motion (irrespective how many grounds for relief you may have). Too many themes dilute the power of your best themes and often distract and confuse the audience-in this case, the judge. Lawyers too often engage in an exercise similar to the much-derided practice of "defensive medicine," in which they feel the need to raise every single argument no matter how tangential or likely to contribute to ultimate success. Often this is borne of fear that omitting or minimizing any point, no matter how trivial, could leave them open to later criticism if the motion is not successful. But, let's face it, if the fifth or sixth most important point is the one that ultimately carries the day (assuming the court actually reads and meaningfully considers it), perhaps your prioritization was off from the outset.



### Be professional.

Lawyers always should be zealous and impassioned advocates for their clients, but, to be effective, such zeal and passion must be properly channeled. Too often in the heat of battle, lawyers devolve from arguing about issues to arguing about personalities- attacking an opposing party or opposing lawyer's character, sometimes savagely. Don't do it! One of the most universally expressed judicial pet peeves I've heard through the years has been the increasing level of incivility and disrespect that lawyers express against opposing parties and one another. For too many advocates, it is not enough merely to point out the flaws and weaknesses of an opponent's legal position. Instead, they feel the need to convince the judge that their opponent and/or their counsel are bad (even evil). Yet, even if considerable evidence exists to justify what is inherently a subjective point of view, personalized attacks are not an effective tactic. Snide comments and snarky remarks may seem incredibly clever when you write them, but they rarely look good in print, and they never impress a court. In fact, far more often such tactics tend to boomerang against the attacker, hurting that lawyer's standing in the eyes of the court, thereby diminishing his most precious asset-credibility.



# ABA Standards for the Representation of Children

The child's attorney should file petitions, motions, responses or objections as necessary to represent the child. Relief requested may include, but is not limited to: (1) A mental or physical examination of a party or the child; (2) A parenting, custody or visitation evaluation; (3) An increase, decrease, or termination of contact or visitation; (4) Restraining or enjoining a change of placement; (5) Contempt for non-compliance with a court order; (6) Termination of the parent-child relationship; (7) Child support; (8) A protective order concerning the child's privileged communications or tangible or intangible property; (9) Request services for child or family; and (10) Dismissal of petitions or motions. Commentary Filing and arguing necessary motions is an essential part of the



<ul> <li>Do you want your client to testify in a motion hearing?</li> <li>The accused does not by testifying upon a preliminary matter become subject to cross-examination as to other issues in the case. Tennessee Rule of Evidence 106</li> <li>But is it a good idea?</li> </ul>	
to cross-examination as to other issues in the case. Tennessee Rule of Evidence 106	ng?
But is it a good idea?	matter become subject Inessee Rule of Evidence

