Nos. 00-7620, 7621

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PHILIP R. WORKMAN, Petitioner,

 \mathbf{v}_{\bullet}

RICKY J. BELL, Warden, Respondent.

In re PHILIP R. WORKMAN, Petitioner.

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION OF DEATH SENTENCE

PAUL G. SUMMERS
Attorney General & Reporter
State of Tennessee

MICHAEL E. MOORE Solicitor General

GORDON W. SMITH Associate Solicitor General

JOSEPH F. WHALEN
Assistant Attorney General
Counsel of Record
425 Fifth Avenue North
Nashville, Tennessee 37243
(615) 532-7911

Counsel for Respondent

On the basis of petitioner Workman's previously filed Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, he has now filed with this Court an application to stay execution of his sentence of death, which is set for January 31, 2001.¹

In order to secure a stay of execution, petitioner must demonstrate a substantial likelihood of success on the merits of his petitions. *See Bush v. Gore*, 121 S.Ct. 512 (2000)(order granting application for stay)(Scalia, J., concurring); *see also Delo v. Blair*, 509 U.S. 823 (1993)(per curiam)(particularly egregious to enter a stay of execution upon a second or subsequent habeas petition absent substantial grounds on which relief may be granted). For all of the reasons set forth in respondent's brief in opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari, the petition is without merit and should be denied. Likewise, and for all the reasons set forth in respondent's brief in opposition to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,² that petition is also without merit and should be denied. Accordingly, petitioner has not demonstrated and cannot demonstrate a substantial likelihood of success on the merits of either of these petitions.

Petitioner's application to stay execution of his sentence of death should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL (G. SUM	MERS	

I A six illeration filed by petitioner on December 14, 1000, in the Taited States Count. of Appendix for the Sixth Cinemit remains perforg.

² I ill respect to petitioner's effort to present a free that inglishers claim of returning the result of the returning terms of the free claim. Here a v. Collins, i.e. I.e. A. I.E. [1.14], and appointed the reference in his larger that it I provides the respect to the respect to the result of the result of the learning of the result of the result

Attorney General & Reporter State of Tennessee

MICHAEL E. MOORE Solicitor General

GORDON W. SMITH Associate Solicitor General

JOSEPH F. WHALEN Assistant Attorney General Counsel of Record 425 Fifth Avenue North Nashville, Tennessee 37243 (615) 532-7911

Counsel for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing document has been forwarded to counsel for the petitioner by facsimile to Christopher M. Minton, Office of the Post-Conviction Defender, 530 Church Street, Suite 600, Nashville, Tennessee 37243 on this the 26th day of January, 2001.

JOSEPH F. WHALEN
Assistant Attorney General