IN THE JUVENILE COURT (	OF	COUNTY,
TE	NNESSEE	
IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
DOB:	) Docket No	
	)	
Child/ren Under 18 Years of Age	)	

## MOTION TO FIND LACK OF REASONABLE EFFORTS AND RETURN CHILD/REN TO PARENT(S) CUSTODY

Comes now,	and moves this Honorable Court to return the
child/ren to the custody of the parent(s) in this	case. The parent(s) are in substantial compliance
with the permanency plan set forth by the Depa	artment and ratified by this court without
reasonable efforts of the Department of Childre	en Services.

Parent(s) have undergone \_\_\_\_\_\_ treatment, are gainfully employed, maintain contact with child/ren as much as the Department permits. Parents have rehabilitated the conditions which brought the child/ren into state custody.

Upon entering state custody, the child/ren slept in a DCS office building for days or weeks without the necessities of a bed, blanket, or personal hygiene products, a shower or food which is not the least restrictive alternative or consistent with a "family like setting." While in custody, the child/ren has/have suffered from neglect of educational services, violence, physical/sexual abuse, and/or harassment from the Department contracted placement staff or foster home and other children in custody. Since entering state custody, the child/ren's educational needs are not being met. The child/ren's medical needs have not been met by the Department. All of this is to the detriment of the mental, physical, and emotional well-being of the child(ren).

There has been no contact with the parents by the case manager for months in reference to the family's case. There have been no CFTMs and no permanency plan devised within a reasonable amount of time as required by law. The child/ren have been in numerous transition homes and/or foster home placements for many months. Parent(s) have been denied visitation due to Department staffing shortages.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, Petitioners Request:

1.	That the superior parental rights of the Petitioners to	parent their children	be restored
	and the child/ren be returned to the custody and care	e of the Petitioners wi	thout further
	court reviews or departmental oversight;		
2.	That this Court find that the State of Tennessee, Dep	partment of Children'	s Services
	(DCS) has failed to make reasonable efforts on this	matter;	
3	That this matter come before the court on	day of	2024 for a

3.	That this matter come before the court on	_ day of	,
	hearing on this motion; and		
4.	Any and all just and proper relief.		
	Respectfully submitted,		
	Attorney		

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of	f this Motion was forwarded	by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid,
to the following persons on this the	day of,	:
DCS ATTORNEY,Address:		
OTHER PARENT,Address:		
Attorney		
NOT	ΓICE OF HEARING	
This motion is set for hearing on the	eday of	2024 atAM.
The location of such hearing will be held in	the Juvenile Court located	
	Respectfully submitted,	
	Attorney	

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO RETURN CHILD(REN) TO PARENT(S) CUSTODY

The Court having reviewed the Motion and the entire record now ORDERS that the Motion to Return Children to Parental Custody be granted with no further reviews of interference from the Department of Children Services unless another petition is filed that warrants it.

**FURTHER**, the Court finds no reasonable efforts have been made by the Department to provide appropriate or timely services to reunify this family.

ENTERED this	day of	, 2024.
	Juvenile Court Jude	ge/Magistrate

## MEMORANDUM OF LAW SUPPORTING MOTION TO FIND NO REASONABLE EFFORTS

Reasonable Efforts required in order to achieve permanency for the child, the state is
required to make reasonable efforts, if it can be done safely, to:
Prevent the need for removal of the child from the child's family (family preservation);
Enable a child in custody to return home (reunification); or
Reach another permanency goal for the child, as identified in the permanency plan.
Under Tennessee law, "reasonable efforts" is defined as "the exercise of reasonable care
and diligence by the Department to provide services related to meeting the needs of the child and
the family." T.C.A. § 37- 1-166(g). Reasonable efforts are aimed at helping children achieve
permanency. They are provided to children and parents or guardians in an attempt to achieve the
permanency goal identified for the child.
Reasonable efforts are a crucial component of foster care because these efforts represent
the responsibilities of the state and agencies to effectuate permanency. Each child's permanency
plan must clearly articulate the services (efforts) to be provided. In the case of biological parents
whose children have been removed, reasonable efforts provide a second chance at learning
parenting skills that will enable them to keep their children safe and to nurture their children's
healthy development.
The obligation to provide reasonable efforts was first imposed by the Adoption
Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980, P.L. 96-272, 42 USC § 670, et seq. The Adoption and
Safe Families Act of 1997 (ASFA), P.L. 105-89, clarified the reasonable efforts requirements of
the earlier law, and specifically exempts certain types of cases from the reasonable efforts
requirements. ASFA emphasizes that the child's health and safety shall be the paramount
concern of all efforts made toward permanency.
Reasonable Efforts Requirement At every hearing where the child is placed or remains in
custody, the court must make a finding of reasonable efforts. This finding should address what
efforts (or services) were provided by the Department to prevent removal of the child, to reunify
the family, or to achieve another permanency goal for the child. T.C.A. § 37-1-166(a) and (g).
The Department bears the burden of showing that it made reasonable efforts by providing
services that were reasonable in duration, scope, and intended effect, given the family's and
child's circumstances.
The Department must provide an Affidavit of Reasonable Efforts, answering the
following questions:
☐ Is removal necessary in order to protect the child, and if so, what is the specific risk or
risks to the child or family that necessitates removal of the child?
What specific services are necessary to allow the child to remain in the home or to be
returned to the home?
What services have been provided to assist the family and the child so as to prevent
removal or to reunify the family?
Has the Department had the opportunity to provide services to the family and the child,
and, if not, then what are the specific reasons why services were not provided?
T.C.A. § 37-1-166(b) and (c). The juvenile court reviews the Affidavit of Reasonable
Efforts, but must also make an independent determination based on evidence presented in court.
In making a reasonable efforts determination, the court must find, based on all the facts and
circumstances, whether:
☐ There is no less drastic alternative to removal;

	o prevent the need for removal or make it possible
for the child to return home; and	
Continuation of the child's custody v	with the parent or legal guardian is contrary to the
best interests of the child. T.C.A. § 37-1-166	o(d). If, after hearing the proof and reviewing the
Affidavit of Reasonable Efforts, a court is no	ot satisfied with the efforts made by the Department,
the court may make a finding that the Depart	tment did not provide reasonable efforts.
The court as part of its review proces	ss, is required to make findings as to whether the
• •	vard achieving the goal identified in the permanency
1 0	atever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent
placement of the child. T.C.A. § 37-1-166(g)	1
procession of the chiral from it g 5 / 1 100(g)	,
	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
	Attorney
	•