IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

ROBERT GLEN COE, Movant v. STATE OF TENNESSEE, Respondent

For Publication	FILED	
No. M1999-01313-SC-DPE-PD	April 3, 2000	
ORDER	Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk	
filed a "Motion to Rescind Order Sett on." He asks this Court to rescind its or). In the alternative, he requests that the denial of his petition for writ of habea	der of March 30, 2000, settin his Court stay his execution s	

Robert Glen Coe has f Alternative for Stay of Execution his execution for April 5, 2000 O that he can appeal the recent d es District Court.

Coe contends that this Court's order setting his execution violates the procedures set forth in Van Tran v. State, 6 S.W.3d 257 (Tenn. 1999), which, he argues, indicated that this Court would set an execution date only upon motion by the Attorney General. Van Tran addressed only the procedure for initially setting the date for a prisoner's execution upon exhaustion of the prisoner's standard three-tier appeals process. See Coe v. State, ___ S.W.3d ___ (Tenn., Dec. 15, 1999). Once an execution date is initially set, this Court possesses continuing jurisdiction to set a new date should the original date of execution expire as the result of a stay issued by a federal court or other appropriate authority. A second motion by the Attorney General is unnecessary.

Coe further contends that the date set by this Court interferes with the federal courts' ability to consider his claims "in an orderly and judicious manner." A request for stay of execution in order to litigate claims in a federal court is more appropriately addressed to that court.

Upon due consideration, a majority of the Court concludes that the motion should be and is hereby DENIED.

FOR THE COURT:	
E. RILEY ANDERSON,	
CHIEF JUSTICE	

FRANK F. DROWOTA, III,
JUSTICE

Dissenting-See Separate Order
ADOLPHO A. BIRCH, JR.,
JUSTICE

JANICE M. HOLDER,
JUSTICE

WILLIAM M. BARKER,
JUSTICE