

M2012-01045-SC-RL2-R

**From:** "Lori Gonzalez" <lgonzalez@bartdurham.net>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 5/25/2012 8:37 AM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Friday, May 25, 2012 - 8:36am  
Submitted by anonymous user: [65.13.250.190]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Lori Gonzalez

Your email address: lgonzalez@bartdurham.net

Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters

Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL

Your public comments: An advisory comment or some other language should be added to emphasize that this amendment specifically allows for interpreter costs to be paid by the AOC in civil court hearings as defined. I personally have spoken with some of the private bar who read the proposed rule as written and did not see the change as made and suggested that the rule was the same as before. Because of the major change in both rules, and more importantly, change in actual procedures that this rule hopes to bring about, additional comments or language emphasizing the civil hearing application would be helpful.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2694>

**From:** "Heather Hayes" <info@uscourtinterpreter.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 5/27/2012 2:28 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Sunday, May 27, 2012 - 2:28pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [67.212.250.144]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Heather Hayes  
Your email address: info@uscourtinterpreter.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: No. M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments:  
Please find below my comments on the Supreme Court Rules re interpreters

### Section 7

(a) Why should interpreters of languages other than Spanish be eligible to receive greater compensation? This is absolutely shocking. We are all carrying out exactly the same duties, at the same level of expertise and effort. This could easily be seen to be discriminatory, at many levels, and even a violation of federal law (rate of pay according to linguistic, ethnic or cultural origin, for example). If the State of Tennessee requires from me that I take the same oath as that administered to interpreters of languages other than Spanish, and if I am to carry out the same duties as those non-Spanish-language interpreters, then OBVIOUSLY we must legally be compensated at the same rate.

Also, this practice means that interpreters whose ability has not been proven (if no certification exam exists for a less common language) stand to be paid MORE than interpreters who have MET STATE CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS for judiciary interpreters. This is ridiculous and unfair.

(e) No travel time to be paid? This is tantamount to unpaid labor, unless it is the State of Tennessee's assertion that interpreters travel by de-molecularization, miraculously and instantaneously beaming themselves to assignment locations.

The only reason for an interpreter to travel to an assignment, and to assume all of the associated risks, is to carry out the interpretation assignment itself, and to make possible the court's communication with a party (that is, the court's fulfillment of a party's constitutional right to be present). Therefore, travel is PART OF the assignment itself. If the State wishes not to pay for interpreter travel, then the courts must carry out all hearings needing interpreters via electronic means. However, since this is neither plausible nor practical, travel for interpreters becomes a requirement: it is not the whim of that interpreter to do some sightseeing on route to a court. It is completely unreasonable of the State not to compensate these professionals for their time.

Does the State not provide payment for travel time with regard to attorneys, judges, experts, and others who are not on salary?

Also, currently, TNAOC invoicing requirements for interpreters are so complex and time-consuming that adding yet another requirement (additional motions

for compensation for travel time) unduly and unnecessarily burdens interpreters and others involved in this process.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2697>

**From:** "Wei Ralph" <ralphfamily@comcast.net>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 5/27/2012 12:06 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Sunday, May 27, 2012 - 12:05pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [69.137.66.172]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Wei Ralph  
Your email address: ralphfamily@comcast.net  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: No. M2012-01045-RL2-RL - Filed: May 18,2012  
Your public comments:  
To Whom It May Concern,

As a spoken foreign language interpreter for languages other than Spanish, I want to point out to you that your newly proposed limitation on cost of interpreter services will create a harsh environment for individual with LEP. This is especially true if a person's life and death is at stake. Quality of service is directly co-related to cost of services that State of Tennessee is willing to pay. Careless cost cutting in hourly rates is taking away necessary incentives for qualified individuals to stay in the TN system.

Travel time is a necessary component to provide services. For rare languages, due to lack of statewide qualified interpreter, one may required to go from one part of the state to another. Travel time can be a large part of the overall process of providing service. It must be compensated to be fair to the provider. Certified Court Interpreters is a product of unique cultural background, advanced education, professionalism, hard work, investment of time, money and efforts as well as continuing education and training. Certified rare language court interpreter in the state of Tennessee typically holds full time jobs in other professions due to lack of full time needs for services. However, when needs does arrive, one must be ready to assist. Daily skill maintenance, routine and updated professional networking and training and dedication to stand ready are trademarks of qualified interpreter.

Does State of Tennessee AOC wish to recruit and maintain teams of qualified and dedicated court interpreters who are prepared and ready to take on the next assignment assisting the court system for a just and fair decision in cases involving individual with LEP?

If the answer is yes, State of Tennessee AOC must remove the newly proposed limitation on cost of interpreter services and travel time compensation to allow qualified and dedicated court interpreters stay in the system.

If the answer is no, State of Tennessee AOC is willing to compromise the court system in cases involving individual with LEP, then, be prepared for quality and standards of court interpreters to drop and free fall.

It is my hope that State of Tennessee AOC will maintain current cost of service rules and not rushes into decision which can compromise its court system.

Regards,  
Wei Ralph, MBA-Accounting,

Certified Mandarin Chinese Court Interpreter-TN, AL,  
Thru Reciprocity : NC, OH, KY, IN, MO, MS. WV. VA  
TAPIT (Moderator), NAMI-Sumner county Board Member,  
615-498-6539 Cell, 615-859-8910 Fax

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2696>

---

**From:** "rau venegas salinas" <rsalinas-777@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/4/2012 1:39 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Monday, June 4, 2012 - 1:38pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [74.226.98.59]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: rau venegas salinas  
Your email address: rsalinas-777@hotmail.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments:

I don't agree with these new regulations they want to implement for interpreters, because in the first new regulation I believe that a person can't work at their very best when they have to be thinking of their travel costs. Second, it's very difficult for a person to do their work thinking that their rate of pay, and their wage, depends on what a judge decides, in my opinion it should not be variable.

Interpreters are indispensable for any society, and more so for one that believes that liberty and justice are for all.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2734>

**From:** "Giovanna López" <gioklp@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/4/2012 11:59 AM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Monday, June 4, 2012 - 11:58am  
Submitted by anonymous user: [66.208.198.70]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Giovanna López  
Your email address: gioklp@yahoo.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: 42

Your public comments:

Memphis, long time ago become a diverse city, not only people from many other states come to Memphis but people from many other countries. One way to continue living in harmony despite our differences, for a better future of our city, it is to offer equal access and rights for everybody. As a city tax payer, I request the Supreme Court do not change the Judicial Regulation, shall prejudice seriously against adequate language access to courts for defendants, victims, witnesses, etc.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2731>

**From:** "Ronald G. Tipps" <ronaldg@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** <mike.catalano@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/4/2012 6:49 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Monday, June 4, 2012 - 6:48pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [98.240.122.79]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Ronald G. Tipps  
Your email address: ronaldg@bellsouth.net  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments:

To the Honorable Court,

I am a translator and a member of the Tennessee Association of Professional Interpreters and Translators (TAPIT). I feel that proposed changes in Rule 42 are unfair. Especially the mileage decrease and the hours allowed decrease. We use gasoline and incur lots of wear and tear on our cars so it is only fair that we be compensated for the long travel time that sometimes necessary when going to distant courts to interpret. Not only that, but frequently we wait many hours before our case comes before the court; this too should be adequately compensated because our time is just as valuable to us as it is to the courts. Please do NOT decrease our benefits and allowances. As the saying goes: "Don't fix it if it ain't broke."

Additionally, I believe that we should receive MORE compensation than we currently do because of cost of living increases. Thanks for your consideration,

~ Ronald G. Tipps

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2735>

---

**From:** "Tonya Miller" <millertonya@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/5/2012 1:34 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Tuesday, June 5, 2012 - 1:33pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [69.138.36.32]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Tonya Miller  
Your email address: millertonya@hotmail.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments:

Do we have a law that protects our ability to participate in court proceedings? For some reason, I am convinced that being able to understand and participate in court is necessary. Being innocent until proven guilty means that one must be able to provide information; hence, the need to communicate. Since the majority of our court documentation is oral and written, interpreters and translators fill in a necessary piece of the communication puzzle that allows us to participate in the administration of our laws. How will we categorize those who are not able to understand the language in which the court dictates? Insane? Guilty by language default? Now, what happens if court interpreters are completely free enterprise? Our judicial system then becomes open to inconsistencies in administration, credentialing and cost. If the court assumes that costs will lower automatically by virtue of supply and demand, let me remind you that interpreters make substantially more income outside of court. Even today, there is little incentive for a seasoned interpreter to work in court. Court interpreting is tedious and stressful. It is my impression that should the court decide to cut ties with the administration of interpreters, everyone becomes subject to greater expense and inconsistency.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2741>

**From:** "Steve Derthick" <stevederthick@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/6/2012 2:50 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Wednesday, June 6, 2012 - 2:48pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [68.59.228.225]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Steve Derthick  
Your email address: stevederthick@yahoo.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments: I am shocked at the severity of the proposed changes to Rule 42. If approved in their current form, these changes will be extremely counter productive. They will reverse the past decade's progress in professionalizing interpreting services in Tennessee courts. They will gut the profession. Interpreters who are already credentialed and serving local courts will have to re-evaluate whether it is economically feasible for us to continue. Prospective interpreters will no longer see the potential to earn a living. They will lose their incentive to complete the arduous and expensive process of becoming credentialed. If approved, these changes will bring us back to the days when the court turned to friends, family members, and other inmates to interpret. With these drastically reduced pay rates, no credentialed interpreters will be available.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2749>

---

**From:** "Tom Nguyen" <mr.thangnguyen@gmail.com>  
**To:** <janice.rawls@tncourts.gov>  
**Date:** 6/7/2012 1:03 PM  
**Subject:** TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Thursday, June 7, 2012 - 1:03pm  
Submitted by anonymous user: [68.53.138.201]  
Submitted values are:

Your Name: Tom Nguyen  
Your email address: mr.thangnguyen@gmail.com  
Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 42 - Standards for Court Interpreters  
Docket number: M2012-01045-RL2-RL  
Your public comments:

Interpreting is a demanding task, especially in a court setting. It requires one to be mentally alert and prepared, especially for non-Latin based languages where conversion is rarely easy. Investment in Education and on-going training is a must to be an effective interpreter. This takes time and resources to maintain. Meanwhile, many interpreters of non-Spanish languages are temporary contractors facing unstable work income. At the current rate, it is tough enough to keep interpreting a feasible option over other more stable jobs. There is a lack of adequate incentive for one to be an interpreter (a good one) even on a part time basis. This is most true for non-Spanish languages as volume is not consistent. It is not considered a career path. To put simply, to be a qualified interpreter is not easy in terms of training and work schedule management to attract talent from other career options.

An important aspect to know is that interpreting is increasing as society becomes more diverse. There is more demand for good interpreters in any settings for legal and cultural reasons. To be competitive, the courts must create flexible ways to keep interpreters. Otherwise, it will be hard to meet the needs for languages other than Spanish.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:  
<http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/2754>

---