IN THE COURT OF CRIM	INAL APPEALS C	
AT P	KNOXVILLE	FILED
APRIL <sup>2</sup>	1997 SESSION	January 26, 1998
STATE OF TENNESSEE,	) C.C.A. N	Cecil Crowson, Jr. lo. 03001-9612-6R-00454
Appellant,	) KNOX (	COUNTY
VS.	,	CHARD BAUMGARTNER,
JAMES DAVID SHROPSHIRE,	) <b>JUDG</b> ) (Assault	E , Aggravated Sexual Battery)
Appellee.	)	
FOR THE APPELLANT:	FOR TH	IE APPELLEE:
JOHN KNOX WALKUP Attorney General and Reporter Chattanooga, TN 37402	550 Mai	<b>TH F. IRVINE, JR.</b> n Avenue Bank Center

MICHAEL J. FAHEY, II

**RANDALL E. NICHOLS**District Attorney General

WILLIAM H. CRABTREE CHARME JOHNSON

City-County Building Knoxville, TN 37902

**Assistant District Attorney General** 

OPINION FILED: \_\_\_\_

**REVERSED AND REMANDED** 

CHRIS CRAFT, SPECIAL JUDGE

Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493 Suite 775

Knoxville, TN 37901

## OPINION

The State of Tennessee appeals from the decision of the trial judge dismissing convictions for assault and aggravated sexual battery due to insufficiency of the presentment. We reverse, reinstate the convictions and remand for further proceedings.

Appellee, James David Shropshire, was tried in September, 1995 on a three count presentment for Rape of a Child (Count I) and Aggravated Sexual Battery (Counts II and III). He was acquitted of Count II and the jury deadlocked on Counts I and III. Upon retrial in March 1996, Mr. Shropshire was convicted of assault as included in Count I and Aggravated Sexual Battery as charged in Count III. Prior to a hearing on the Motion for New Trial, the trial judge dismissed the convictions due to the release of State v. Roger Dale Hill, No. 01C01-9508-CC-00267 (Tenn. Crim. App., Nashville, June 20, 1996), because the presentment failed to allege a culpable mental state, an essential element of each offense. The Tennessee Supreme Courthas since reversed the holding of the Court of Criminal Appeals in Hill, holding instead that the required mental state may be inferred from the nature of the criminal conduct alleged, and does not have to be alleged in the charging instrument. State v. Roger Dale Hill, No. 01-S-01-9701-CC-00005 (Jackson, November 3, 1997).

Having examined Counts I and III and found them sufficient to provide adequate notice to both the defendant and the trial court of each offenses alleged, we therefore find that the dismissal of the presentment was not proper, reinstate the convictions, and remand to the trial court for a hearing on the Motion for New Trial and any further required proceedings.

The judgment of the trial court is REVERSED AND REMANDED.

 CHRIS CRAFT, SPECIAL JUDGE
<del>-</del>

CONCUR:
JERRY L. SMITH, JUDGE
JOE RILEY, JUDGE