IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

FEBRUARY 1998 SESSION



March 19, 1998

Cecil Crowson, Jr.
Appellate Court Clerk

| RICKY L. SAMMARTINO, APPELLANT, v. STATE OF TENNESSEE, APPELLEE. |))))) | No. 02-C-01-9701 Dyer County Honorable Joe G. (Post-Conviction F | Riley, Judge |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| FOR THE APPELLANT: Vanedda Prince Attorney at Law P. O. Box 26 Union City, TN 38281 | | John Knox Walkup Attorney General of 425 Fifth Avenue, Nashville, TN 372 Elizabeth T. Ryan Assistant Attorney 425 Fifth Avenue, Nashville, TN 372 C. Phillip Bivens District Attorney G P. O. Box E Dyersburg, TN 38 | Reporter North 243-0493 General North 243-0493 |

AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RULE 20

Joe B. Jones, Presiding Judge

OPINION FILED: _____

OPINION

The appellant, Ricky L. Sammartino (petitioner), appeals as of right from a judgment

of the trial court dismissing his post-conviction action after an evidentiary hearing. The trial

court found the petitioner was afforded the effective assistance of counsel guaranteed by

the United States and Tennessee Constitutions, and his pleas of guilty passed

constitutional muster. The petitioner presents two issues for review. He contends (a) "the

trial court erred in holding that the Appellant received the effective assistance of counsel,"

and (b) "the trial court erred in holding that the Petitioner voluntarily entered a plea of

guilty." After a thorough review of the record, the briefs submitted by the parties, and the

law governing the issues presented for review, it is the opinion of this court that the

judgment of the trial court should be affirmed pursuant to Rule 20, Tennessee Court of

Criminal Appeals.

The petitioner and defense counsel testified at the evidentiary hearing. Their respective testimony conflicted as to every material issue and fact. It is evident the court accredited the testimony of defense counsel thereby rejecting the testimony given by the petitioner. Thus, the evidence contained in the record does not preponderate against the findings of fact made by the trial court.

| | JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CONCUR: | |
| | |
| | |
| JOHN H. PEAY, JUDGE | |
| | |
| THOMAC T WOODALL HIDGE | |
| THOMAS T. WOODALL, JUDGE | |