IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

SEPT	EMBE	R SESSION, 1997	FILED
TERRANCE ROYAL a/k/a Richard Marlowe,)	C.C.A. NO. 02C01-	9609-CR-00299 October 13, 1997
Appellant,)		Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk
)	SHELBY COUNTY	
VS.)	HON. CHRIS CRAF	∓T
STATE OF TENNESSEE,)	JUDGE	
Appellee.)	(Post-Conviction)	

ON APPEAL FROM THE JUDGMENT OF THE CRIMINAL COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY

FOR THE APPELLANT: FOR THE APPELLEE:

TERRANCE ROYAL a/k/a Richard Marlowe Fla. D.C. #066519 Hendry Correctional Institution Immokalee, FL 34142 JOHN KNOX WALKUP Attorney General and Reporter

JANIS L. TURNER Assistant Attorney General 425 5th Avenue North Nashville, TN 37243

JOHN W. PIEROTTI District Attorney General

AMY WEIRICH Assistant District Attorney General Criminal Justice Complex, Suite 301

201 Poplar Street Memphis, TN 38103

OPINION FILED _	 	
AFFIRMED		

DAVID H. WELLES, JUDGE

OPINION

The Defendant, a Florida inmate, appeals from the trial court's denial of his petition seeking relief from a Tennessee conviction and sentence. The trial court treated the petition as a petition for post-conviction relief and dismissed it as barred by the statute of limitations. We affirm the dismissal.

On January 15, 1992, the Defendant entered guilty pleas to and was convicted of one count of felony cocaine possession and one count of felony bail jumping. He received concurrent sentences of four years and one year, ordered to be served consecutively to certain sentences he received in Florida.

On April 27, 1995, the Defendant filed a petition to "set aside, modify or mitigate sentence" which was denied by the trial court on April 28, 1995. On May 3, 1996, the Defendant filed a petition for post-conviction relief from these convictions, which was dismissed by the trial court on May 14, 1996, based on the statute of limitations.

On July 8, 1996, the Defendant filed a "PETITION FOR WRIT OF ERROR CORAM NOBIS, or in the alternative, APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD SUBJICIENDUM AD DUCE TESTIFICANDUM." The trial judge treated this petition as one for post-conviction relief and dismissed it as time barred. It is from this order of the trial court that the Defendant appeals.

The petition essentially alleges that Defendant's counsel at his guilty plea

proceeding was ineffective for not telling the Defendant that his Tennessee

sentences were to run consecutively to his Florida sentence and that his guilty

pleas entered in the Tennessee cases were therefore not knowing, intelligent and

voluntary, because he would not have pleaded guilty had he known he was to

receive sentences to be served consecutively to his Florida incarceration.

Habeas corpus relief is available only when a convicting court is without

jurisdiction or authority to sentence a defendant or when that defendant's term

of imprisonment or restraint has expired. Archer v. State, 851 S.W.2d 157, 164

(Tenn. 1993). The Defendant argues that his Tennessee sentences would be

expired if they had been ordered to be served concurrently with his Florida

sentence. His argument gains him no relief because his Tennessee sentences

were clearly ordered to be served consecutively to the Florida incarceration.

Although the petition alleges grounds for post-conviction relief, the trial

judge correctly determined that the petition was barred by the statute of

limitations. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-30-202; see Carter v. State, __ S.W.2d __

(Tenn. 1997).

The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

DAVID H. WELLES, JUDGE

-3-

CONCUR:	
JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE	
JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE	