IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT KNOXVILLE

NOVEMBER 1997 SESSION



December 23, 1997

n, Jr. Clerk

| | Cecil Crowson Appellate Court |
|--|---|
| ABEL RODRIQUEZ, JR., | |
| APPELLANT,) | No. 03-C-01-9612-CR-00463 |
| v.) | Greene County |
|) | James E. Beckner, Judge |
| STATE OF TENNESSEE,) | (Post-Conviction Relief) |
| APPELLEE,) | |
| | |
| FOR THE APPELLANT: | FOR THE APPELLEE: |
| Abel Rodriquez, Jr., Pro Se Northeast Correctional Center P.O. Box 500 Mountain City, TN 37653-0500 | John Knox Walkup Attorney General & Reporter 500 Charlotte Avenue Nashville, TN 37243-0497 |
| | Timothy F. Behan Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493 |
| | C. Berkley Bell District Attorney General 109 South Main Street Greeneville, TN 37743 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

OPINION FILED:_____

AFFIRMED

Joe B. Jones, Presiding Judge

OPINION

The appellant, Abel Rodriquez, Jr. (petitioner), appeals as of right from a judgment of the trial court summarily dismissing his action for post-conviction relief. The trial court found the action was barred by the statute of limitations. The petitioner contends the time should be calculated from the time this court's opinion in State v. Roger Dale Hill, Sr., Wayne County No. 01-C-01-9508-CC-00267 (Tenn. Crim. App., Nashville, June 20, 1996), was filed -- not from the date of his conviction. He argues Hill created new law, and the indictment in his case, like the indictment in Hill, was void because it did not allege the requisite mens. rea.

The trial court properly found the statute of limitations barred this action. Moreover, the indictment in this case was adequate. It mirrors the indictment in Hill. The supreme court recently held the indictment in Hill passed constitutional, statutory, and common law muster. State v. Hill, _____ S.W.2d _____ (Tenn. 1997) (opinion filed in Nashville on November 3, 1997).

After a thorough review of the record, the briefs submitted by the parties, and the law governing the issue presented for review, it is the opinion of this court that the judgment of the trial court should be affirmed.

| 5 5 1 | , |
|--|-------------------------------|
| udgment of the trial court should be affir | rmed |
| adginerit of the that court around be ann | mod. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE |
| | |
| CONCUR: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| PAUL G. SUMMERS, JUDGE | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CURWOOD WITT, JUDGE | |