IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT KNOXVILLE

JUNE 1997 SESSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

))

)



December 23, 1997

Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk

DONALD WAYNE HOLT,

Appellant,

VS.

HOWARD CARLTON and STATE OF TENNESSEE

Appellees.

FOR THE APPELLANT:

KENNETH F. IRVINE, JR. 606 W. Main St., Suite 350 P.O. Box 84

Knoxville, TN 37901-0084

C.C.A. NO. 03C01-9702-CR-00059

JOHNSON COUNTY

HON. LYNN W. BROWN, JUDGE

(Habeas corpus)

FOR THE APPELLEE:

JOHN KNOX WALKUP Attorney General & Reporter

SANDY R. COPOUS

Asst. Attorney General 450 James Robertson Pkwy. Nashville, TN 37243-0493

DAVID CROCKETT

District Attorney General Route 19, Box 99 Johnson City, TN 37601

OPINION FILED:

AFFIRMED

JOHN H. PEAY, Judge

<u>O PINIO N</u>

The petitioner filed his petition for writ of habeas corpus on September 18, 1996, alleging that his 1991 conviction for aggravated rape is void because it is based upon an invalid indictment. Specifically, he claims that the indictment fails to allege the necessary <u>mens rea</u> element and that his conviction must therefore be reversed and dismissed pursuant to this Court's opinion in <u>State v. Roger Dale Hill, Sr.</u>, No. 01C01-9508-CC-00267, Wayne County (Tenn. Crim. App. filed June 20, 1996, at Nashville).¹ The court below summarily dismissed the defendant's petition, finding that it fails to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. The defendant now appeals. We affirm the judgment below.

In <u>Hill</u>, this Court held that an indictment for aggravated rape alleging that the defendant had "unlawfully sexually penetrate[d]" the victim was insufficient because it did not allege a culpable mental state. However, our Supreme Court has overruled this Court's decision in <u>Hill</u>, holding that aggravated rape "is committable only if the principal actor's <u>mens rea</u> is intentional, knowing, or reckless. Thus, the required mental state may be inferred from the nature of the criminal conduct alleged." <u>State v. Hill</u>, ____ S.W.2d ____ (Tenn. 1997) (footnote omitted).

In this case, the petitioner was also charged with aggravated rape, the indictment alleging that he "unlawfully did sexually penetrate and cause bodily injury to" the victim. Under our Supreme Court's analysis in <u>Hill</u>, this indictment is adequate. This

¹The petitioner also claims in his petition that the indictment was not signed by the district attorney general. However, this issue has not been briefed and is therefore waived. Tenn. Crim. App. R. 10(b). Moreover, the copy of the indictment included in the record contains the signature of Guy R. Dotson, who was the district attorney general of Rutherford County at the time. This issue merits no further discussion.

issue is therefore without merit.

The judgment below is affirmed.

JOHN H. PEAY, Judge

CONCUR:

JOSEPH M. TIPTON, Judge

CURWOOD WITT, Judge