IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT NASHVILLE

NOVEMBER 1997 SESSION

December 17, 1997

Cecil W. Crowson rk

GLENN HALLOCK,)		Appellate Court Cle
Appellant,)	No. 01C01-9704-CR	-00121
V.)	Wilson County	
•)	Honorable J.O. Bon	d, Judge
STATE OF TENNESSEE,)	(Post-Conviction)	
Appellee.)		
For the Appellant:		For the Appellee:	
Glenn Hallock, <u>Pro se</u> Special Needs Facility 7575 Cockrill Bend Industrial Rd. Nashville, TN 37209-1057		John Knox Walkup Attorney General of and Elizabeth B. Marney Assistant Attorney G 450 James Robertso Nashville, TN 37243 Tom P. Thompson, J District Attorney Gen P.O. Box 178 Hartsville, TN 37074 and Doug Hall Assistant District Atto 111 Cherry Street Lebanon, TN 37087	eneral of Tennessee on Parkway -0493 Ir. eral -0178
OPINION FILED:			
AFFIRMED			

Joseph M. Tipton

Judge

OPINION

The petitioner, Glenn Hallock, appeals as of right from the Wilson County Criminal Court's judgment denying him post-conviction relief from his 1992 convictions for aggravated rape, rape, incest and aggravated sexual battery for which he received an effective sentence of thirty-eight years in the custody of the Department of Correction. The trial court held that the petition for relief was barred by the statute of limitations and that, in any event, the grounds asserted for relief had been previously determined or waived. The petitioner contends that his petition is not time barred and that the grounds have not been previously determined or waived. We affirm the trial court.

The petitioner's convictions were affirmed on appeal. State v. Glenn

Hallock, No. 01-C-01-9301-CR-00002, Wilson County (Tenn. Crim. App. Oct. 7, 1993),

app. denied (Tenn. Feb. 28, 1994). Under the then-existing Post Conviction

Procedures Act, the petitioner had three years from the supreme court's denial of

review being final within which to file his post-conviction petition. See T.C.A. § 40-30
102 (repealed 1995). However, under the 1995 Post-Conviction Procedures Act that

controls all post-conviction petitions filed after May 10, 1995, any then-existing but

unfiled claims were given only one year from May 10, 1995, to be filed by post
conviction petition. See T.C.A. § 40-30-202(a); Albert Holston v. State, 02C01-9609
CR-00298, Shelby County (Tenn. Crim. App. July 28, 1997). This means that the

petitioner was required to file his petition on or before May 10, 1996. Thus, the petition

in this case, filed on March 3, 1997, was untimely and is barred.

As for four of the grounds for relief that the petitioner raised in his petition, the record supports the conclusion that they were previously determined in his direct appeal. As for his remaining claim that the indictment failed to state offenses, our

supreme court's holding in <u>State v. Roger Dale Hill, Sr.</u>, No. 01-S-01-9701-CC-00005, Wayne County (Tenn. Nov. 3, 1997) (for publication), controls. Under <u>Hill</u>, the allegations in the indictment charge the offenses for which the petitioner was prosecuted.

affirmed.	In consideration of the foregoin	ng, the judgment of the trial court is
CONCUR:		Joseph M. Tipton, Judge
John H. Pea	ay, Judge	
David H. We	elles, Judge	