## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE **FILED AT JACKSON** SEPTEMBER 1997 SESSION **September 17, 1997** Cecil Crowson, Jr. STATE OF TENNESSEE, Appellate Court Clerk ) ) NO. 02C<del>01-9610-CR-00377</del> Appellee, **SHELBY COUNTY** VS. Hon. Bernie Weinman, Judge RANDY S. WATSON, (Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender) Appellant. **FOR THE APPELLANT: FOR THE APPELLEE: BRETT B. STEIN** JOHN KNOX WALKUP 100 N. Main Street Attorney General and Reporter

**KENNETH W. RUCKER** Assistant Attorney General Criminal Justice Division

450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493

WILLIAM L. GIBBONS District Attorney General

**REGINALD HENDERSON** 

Assistant District Attorney General 201 Poplar Avenue, Suite 301 Memphis, TN 38103

OPINION FILED: _		
AFFIRMED		
JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE		

Number 3102

Memphis, TN 38103

## OPINION

Appellant contends the Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender Act (HMVO Act) violates double jeopardy principles. On May 9, 1996, appellant was declared an Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender (HMVO) based upon appropriate prior convictions. His motion to dismiss based upon double jeopardy was denied.

We AFFIRM the order of the trial court.

## **DOUBLE JEOPARDY AND THE HMVO ACT**

State v. Conley, 639 S.W.2d 435, 437 (Tenn. 1982), found the double jeopardy defense to be unavailable to the HMVO declaration since the revocation of driving privileges is remedial and not punitive in nature. This reasoning has been followed in recent months by this Court in State v. Spears, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9606-CR-00197 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed July 10, 1997, at Jackson), and State v. Rowlett, C.C.A. No. 01C01-9605-CC-00211 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed August 22, 1997, at Nashville). Upon review of the record before us, we find nothing to cause us to hold differently.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, we AFFIRM the judgment of the trial court.

	JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE
CONCUR:	
JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE	
DAVID H. WELLES. JUDGE	