IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT NASHVILLE

JULY 1997 SESSION



August 22, 1997

Cecil Crowson, Jr.
Appellate Court Clerk

MORRIS R. DONEGAN,) NO. 01C01-9608-CR-00354
Appellant) SUMNER COUNTY
V.) HON. JANE WHEATCRAFT, JUDGE
STATE OF TENNESSEE,) (Post-Conviction)
Appellee)))
FOR THE APPELLANT	FOR THE APPELLEE
David A. Doyle District Public Defender 117 East Main Street Gallatin, Tennessee 37066	John Knox Walkup Attorney General and Reporter 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0493
	Lisa A. Naylor Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0493
	Lawrence Ray Whitley District Attorney General 113 West Main Street Gallatin, Tennessee 37066
OPINION FILED:	
AFFIRMED	

William M. Barker, Judge

Opinion

The Appellant, Morris R, Donegan, appeals as of right the Sumner County
Criminal Court's dismissal of his petition for post-conviction relief. In 1983, the
Appellant was convicted of three counts of aggravated rape and is currently serving a
sixty-year sentence in the Tennessee Department of Correction. This Court affirmed
the Appellant's conviction on May 8, 1984.¹ See State v. Morris R. Donegan, C.C.A.
No. 84-1-III (Tenn. Crim. App., Nashville, May 8, 1984). At some point thereafter, the
Appellant filed a petition for post-conviction relief which, after an evidentiary hearing,
was dismissed by the trial court. This Court affirmed the trial court's dismissal in State
v. Morris Radford Donegan, C.A.A. No. 85-78-III (Tenn. Crim. App., Nashville,
Nov. 15, 1985) and the Tennessee Supreme Court denied the Appellant's petition for
permission to appeal on March 3, 1986.

The Appellant filed this petition for post-conviction relief alleging that the jury instructions at his trial unconstitutionally defined reasonable doubt. On May 13, 1996, without an evidentiary hearing, the trial court issued an order dismissing the petition.

Pursuant to the now-repealed Post-Conviction Procedure Act, the statute of limitation applicable to the Appellant's post-conviction claims was three years.² Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-30-102 (repealed 1995). That three-year period began running on July 1, 1986, the effective date of the statute. The last day on which the Appellant could have filed such a petition was in July of 1989. See e.g. State v. Mullins, 767 S.W.2d 668, 669 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1988); Smith v. State, 757 S.W.2d 683, 685 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1988); State v. Masucci, 754 S.W.2d 90, 91 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1988); Abston v. State, 749 S.W.2d 487, 488 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1988). The Appellant's petition was filed in March of 1996, more than six years after the statute expired. Consequently, the trial court properly dismissed the Appellant's petition.

¹The record does not indicate whether any further appeals were sought.

²Prior to the enactment of the 1986 Post-Conviction Procedure Act, no statute of limitations for post-conviction applications existed.

Any contention by the Appellant that the new Post-Conviction Procedure Act, effective May 10, 1995, provided him with a one-year window of opportunity within which to file his post-conviction petition is meritless. Similar attempts to circumvent the statute of limitations in this manner have been previously rejected by panels of this Court. See Roy Barnett v. State, C.C.A. No. 03C01-9512-CV-00394 (Tenn. Crim. App., Knoxville, Feb. 20, 1997); Stephen Koprowski v. State, C.A.A. No. 03C01-9511-CC-00365 (Tenn. Crim. App., Knoxville, Jan. 28, 1997); Johnny L. Butler v. State, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9509-CR-00289 (Tenn. Crim. App., Jackson, Dec. 2, 1996). But see Arnold Carter v. State, C.C.A. No. 03C01-9509-CC-00270 (Tenn. Crim. App., Knoxville, July 11, 1996).

Accordingly, the trial court's dismissal of the Appellant's petition for postconviction relief is affirmed.

	WILLIAM M. BARKER, JUDGE
CONCUR:	
JOHN H. PEAY, JUDGE	
JERRY I SMITH JUDGE	