# IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

## AT NASHVILLE

### MAY 1997 SESSION



June 26, 1997

Cecil W. Crowson Appellate Court Clerk

### JESSIE JAMES HOUSE,

Appellant,

V.

C.C.A. No. 01C01-9607-CR-00309

) Davidson County

) (Post-Conviction)

) Honorable Ann Lacy Johns, Judge

### STATE OF TENNESSEE,

Appellee.

#### FOR THE APPELLANT:

Jessie James House, <u>Pro Se</u> R.M.S.I. Unit 6-B-119 7475 Cockrill Bend Ind. Rd. Nashville, TN 37209-1010

FOR THE APPELLEE:

Charles W. Burson Attorney General & Reporter

Daryl J. Brand Assistant Attorney General Criminal Justice Division 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493

Victor S. (Torry) Johnson III District Attorney General

Roger D. Moore Asst. Dist. Attorney General Washington Square 222 2nd Avenue North, Suite 500 Nashville, TN 37201-1649

OPINION FILED: \_\_\_\_\_

#### AFFIRMED

PAUL G. SUMMERS, Judge

The appellant, Jessie James House, was convicted in 1987 of robbery accomplished by use of a deadly weapon. In 1995 he filed a petition for postconviction relief, approximately seven years after his conviction became final. The trial court dismissed the petition on the ground that it was barred by the statute of limitations.

The appellant's conviction became final in August 1988. At that time the law allowed three years to file a petition for post-conviction relief. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-30-102 (1990). The appellant's time period for filing his petition expired in August 1991. Therefore, his petition is time barred.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, we find no error of law mandating reversal. The trial court's dismissal of the appellant's petition is affirmed in accordance with Tenn. R. Ct. Crim. App., Rule 20.

PAUL G. SUMMERS, Judge

CONCUR:

DAVID G. HAYES, Judge

JERRY L. SMITH, Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notwithstanding the untimeliness of the appellant's petition, we reviewed his issues. The issues have been waived or simply lack merit. There is some confusion about a previous post-conviction case which attacked a 1979 conviction and is apparently referred to in the trial court's order dismissing this petition. Nonetheless, this error does not affect the statute of limitations issue.