

The defendant was convicted of two counts of theft of property over \$10,000 and one count of burglary of a motor vehicle. The defendant contends on appeal that (1) the evidence was insufficient to support the convictions for theft and burglary, and (2) double jeopardy prohibits multiple convictions for the theft of a single vehicle by both “obtaining” and “exercising control.” We conclude that the two convictions for theft must be merged into a single conviction in order to avoid double jeopardy. The judgments of the trial court are affirmed in all other respects. We remand for correction of the judgment forms and merger of the theft convictions.