IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE WESTERN SECTION AT JACKSON

FILED

Dec. 30, 1996

Cecil Crowson, Jr.
Appellate Court Clerk

RAMONA C. SCHRADER,)
Defendant\Appellee v.) HENRY CHANCERY))
A. L. SCHRADER,) Appeal No. 02A01-9605-CH-00108
Petitioner\Appellant)

APPEAL FROM THE CHANCERY COURT OF GIBSON COUNTY AT TRENTON, TENNESSEE THE HONORABLE GEORGE R. ELLIS, CHANCELLOR

L. L. HARRELL, JR. Harrell & Harrell NW Court Square Trenton, TN 38382 JULIA J. TATE

Gracey, Ruth, Howard, Tate & Sowell 150 Second Avenue North, Suite 201 Nashville, TN 37201

AFFIRMED

WILLIAM H. INMAN, SENIOR JUDGE

CONCUR:

ALAN E. HIGHERS, JUDGE

HOLLY LILLARD, JUDGE

MEMORANDUM OPINION

These parties were divorced in 1981 following a 25-year marriage and the birth of three children. The appellant was ordered to pay alimony of \$950.00 monthly, well within his means as a practicing physician.

He is now 70 years old and in declining health. He has retired from practice and alleges this fact superimposed upon his frailty amounts to such a change of circumstances as to justify and require a reduction in the ordered payments.

The evidence reveals that he has a minimum of \$457,200. in assets and the overall thrust of the evidence justifies the conclusion of the trial judge that the appellant had failed to prove such a change in circumstances as would require a reduction in his alimony obligation. Our review of the findings of fact made by the trial court is *de novo* upon the record of the trial court, accompanied by a presumption of the correctness of the finding, unless the preponderance of the evidence is otherwise. Tenn. Code Ann. § 50-6-225(3)(2). *Stone v. City of McMinnville*, 896 S.W.2d 584 (Tenn. 1991).

We think this is a proper case for affirmance pursuant to Rule 10, Rules of THE Court of Appeals.¹

The case is remanded for the assessment of attorney fees in the trial court and on appeal as costs, which are taxed to the appellant.

CONCUR:	William H. Inman, Senior Judge
Alan E. Highers, Judge	
Holly Lillard, Judge	_

¹ 10. Affirmance Without Opinion - Memorandum Opinion.

⁽b) Memorandum Opinion. The Court, with the concurrence of all judges participating int he case, may affirm, reverse or modify the actions of the trial court by memorandum opinion when a formal opinion would have no precedential value. When a case is decided by memorandum opinion it shall be designated "MEMORANDUM OPINION," shall not be published, and shall not be cited or relied on for any reason in a subsequent unrelated case. [As amended by order filed April 22, 1992.}

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE WESTERN SECTION AT JACKSON

RAMONA C. SCHRADER,) HENRY CHANCERY)
Defendant\Appellee v.	
A. L. SCHRADER,)) Appeal No. 02A01-9605-CH-00108
Petitioner\Appellant)
JUI	DGMENT
This cause came on to be regula	arly heard and considered by this Court, and
for the reasons stated in the Memorand	dum Opinion of this Court, of even date, it is
Ordered:	
1. The judgment of the trial cou	rt is affirmed.
2. Costs of this appeal are taxe	d against the appellant for which execution
may issue if necessary.	
ENTER:	
	William H. Inman, Senior Judge
	Alan E. Highers, Judge
	Holly Lillard, Judge