IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON



AUG	GUST SESSION, 1996	Feb. 14, 1997
JEFF LEON WALKER, Appellant,) C.C.A. NO. 02C01-9509-C0	்.6ezத்க Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk
VS. STATE OF TENNESSEE, Appellee.) MADISON COUNTY) HON. FRANKLIN MURCHIS) JUDGE) (Post-Conviction Felony M	
FOR THE APPELLANT:	FOR THE APPELLEE:	

JEFF LEON WALKER Pro Se Prison Number 108983

Prison Number 108983 CCA/SCCF, P. O. Box 279 Clifton, TN 38425-0279 CHARLES W. BURSON Attorney General and Reporter

CLINTON J. MORGAN Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243

JERRY WOODALL District Attorney General

AL EARLS

Assistant District Attorney

P. O. Box 2825 Jackson, TN 38302

OPINION FILED	
AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RULE 20	
JERRY L. SMITH, JUDGE	

<u>ORDER</u>

Appellant was convicted on January 23, 1988, of the offense of felony murder. On May

10, 1995, he filed the pro se post-conviction petition which is the subject of this appeal.

In the petition Appellant alleges that the judge of the City of Court of Jackson who

presided over Appellant's preliminary hearing was not elected to a full eight year term

in accordance with Article VI, Sec. 4 of the Tennessee Constitution. Appellant

theorizes that his conviction is void because the preliminary hearing was conducted by

an "unconstitutional" judge. See, Town of South Carthage v. Barrett, 840 S.W.2d 895

(Tenn. 1992).

The trial judge dismissed the instant petition as time-barred under the three year

statute of limitations for post-conviction petitions. See, Tenn. Code Ann. Sec. 40-30-

102 (1990). It is from this dismissal that Appellant files this appeal. We have reviewed

the record and the briefs filed in this matter and find that the decision of the trial court

should be affirmed pursuant to Rule 20, Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

Appellant's petition was clearly filed beyond the applicable three year statute of

limitations. The only question is whether the limitations period should be tolled because

Town of South Carthage was not decided until 1992. This precise issue was

determined adversely to Appellant's position in the case of Bankston v. State, 908

S.W.2d 194 (Tenn. 1995). Pursuant to Rule 20, Rules of the Court of Criminal

Appeals, we therefore affirm the judgment of the trial court.

JERRY L. SMITH, JUDGE

CONCUR:

-2-

GARY R. WADE, JUDGE	
WILLIAM M. BARKER. JUDGE	